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We consider a holonomic system with stationary constraints and denote its kinetic energy and the force function by

$$
T=\sum_{s, r=1}^{k} g_{s r} p_{s} p_{r}, \quad U=U\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right), \quad(U(0, \ldots, 0)=0)
$$

where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$ are the Lagrangian coordinates.
Theorem. The equilibrium is unstable, when the following conditions hold:
(1) a region $A(U>0)$ for which the coordinate origin $O$ is a point on the boundary, exists in the $q_{i}$ space;
(2) a sphere $B\left(q_{1}{ }^{2}+\ldots+q_{k}{ }^{2} \leqslant \lambda\right)$ exists, whose radius is sufficiently small for the condition

$$
f \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_{i}} q_{i} \neq 0
$$

to hold in the region $C=A \cap B$;
(3) the functions $U$ and $g_{8} r$ are continuously differentiable in $C$.

Proof. Regarding the canonical Hamilton equations as the equations of perturbed motion, we consider the function [1]

$$
V=\sum_{i=1}^{k} q_{i} p_{i}
$$

whose derivative, by virtue of these equations, has the form

$$
V \cdot=\sum_{s, r=1}^{k}\left(2 g_{s r}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\partial g_{s r}}{\partial q_{j}} q_{j}\right) p_{s} p_{r}+f
$$

The positive definiteness of the quadratic form of the impulses in this expression has been shown, for sufficiently small numerical values of the coordinates $q_{i}$, in [2]. Using the Sylvester criterion, we obtain the radius of the corresponding neighborhood $D$ of the coordinate origin of the $q_{i}$ space.

We shall assume that the sphere $B$ has been chosen to satisfy the condition $B \subset D$. According to the condition (3), the function $f$ defined in $C$ will have, at some point $M \in C$ the same sign as the derivative of $U$ taken at the same point in the direction of the ray $O M$. But $U$ is a function of a single variable in the direction of $O M$, vanishes at the point $L \in B$ of intersection of the ray $O M$ with the boundary of $A$ and is positive at the point $M$.
This, by virtue of the mean value theorem, implies the existence of a point $N \in L M$
at which $f>0$. Then the condition (2) implies that the continuous function $f$ conserves its sign in the region $C$. Consequently $\boldsymbol{V}$ is a positive function of the coordinates and impulses as long as the point representing the motion in the $q_{i}$ space belongs to $C$. But this point cannot leave $C$ by crossing the boundary of $A$ if we put all $p_{i}=0$ at the initial instant of time and choose the coordinates in $C$ in accordance with the condition that $U_{0}=\varepsilon$. As the system is conservative, the inequality $U \geqslant U_{0}$ holds.

The function $f$ reaches its minimum positive value $l$ on the compact $(U \geqslant \varepsilon) \cap B$. Equation

$$
V=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} V \cdot d t
$$

implies that

$$
V>l\left(t-t_{0}\right)
$$

Consequently, a value $l$ can be found for each $\varepsilon>0$ such, that the inequality

$$
\lambda<V=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} q_{i} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(p_{i}{ }^{2}+q_{i}{ }^{2}\right)
$$

is fulfilled not later than at the time

$$
t=t_{0}+\lambda / l
$$

and this means instability in the Liapunov sense (*). Thus the classical methods [1, 2] appear to be applicable to more general problems.

Example 1. Let us consider a mathematical pendulum consisting of a weightless rod with a material point attached to it at one end. The other end of the rod is attached to a fixed point by means of a plane hinge. We denote by $\theta$ the angle of deflection of the rod from the vertical counted in the clockwise direction.

We assume that the pendulum is fitted with a spiral spring situated in the plane of oscillations. The inner end of the spring is rigidly fixed, while the outer end is connected to the pendulum by means of a catch in such a manner that the pendulum is disconnected from the spring when $\theta<0$ and connected to it when $\theta \geqslant 0$. The momept developed by the spring is assumed to be equal to $M=-k^{2} \theta$.

At the position of equilibrium $\theta=0$ considered here, the force function

$$
U= \begin{cases}m g l(1-\cos \theta)-1 / 2 k^{2} \theta^{2}, & \theta \geqslant 0 \\ m g l(1-\cos \theta), & \theta<0\end{cases}
$$

undergoes a second order discontinuity.
Example 2. Consider a rectilinear motion of a material point following the law

$$
\theta^{*}=\varphi(\theta)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\theta^{2}, & \theta<0 \\
\theta \sin \theta^{-1}, & \theta \geqslant 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

When $\theta<0$, the force function

$$
U=\int_{0}^{\theta} \varphi(\theta) d \theta
$$

(*) After this note had gone to print, the author had learnt of a paper [3], in which the instability of equilibrium of a system with two degrees of freedom was proved for the case when the analytic force function has a minimum at the position of equilibrium.
satisfies, as in the previous example, the conditions formulated above which assert the instability (which is obvious in the present case) of equilibrium.
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The case when there is one resonance relation $\beta_{1}=2 \beta_{2}$ between the frequencies of oscillators was studied in [1, 2]. We consider the possible case of a third-order resonance in the oscillations in a Hamiltonian system of nonlinearly coupled oscillators when there is one resonance relation of the form $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}$ [1] between the frequencies of three oscillators. This problem was studied by using the method of secular perturbations in [6].

1. Statement of the problem. We consider a Hamiltonian system of nonlinearly coupled oscillators with the Hamiltonians

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(p, q)=H_{2}(p, q)+H_{3}(p, q)+\ldots+H_{i}(p, q)+\ldots  \tag{1.1}\\
p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right) \\
H_{2}(p, q)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^{n} \beta_{v}\left(q_{v}{ }^{2}+p_{v}{ }^{2}\right) \quad\left(\beta_{v}>0\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\pm i \beta_{v}$ are the eigenvalues of the linearized system; $H_{i}(p, q)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $i$. The quantities $\beta_{\nu}>0$ corresponding to the frequencies of the "uncoupled" oscillators, i. e., to the case when all $H_{i}(p, q)=0(i \geqslant 3)$ in (1.1) are simply called frequencies in what follows.

Let there exist a relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} \beta_{1}+k_{2} \beta_{2}+\ldots+k_{n} \beta_{n}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $k_{2}$ are integers. Then we say that resonance occurs. The vector $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, k_{n}\right)$ is called the resonance vector, while the number $k=\left|k_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|k_{n}\right|$ is called the order of the resonance. We consider a system of $n$ oscillators in the case when there is only one linearly independent resonance relation (1.3) between the frequencies of the

